

Captaincy and Decisions Practice Session Planner - Build a Reliable Baseline

Captaincy and Decisions | Build a Reliable Baseline | Asset ID CRIC-22-02-01

Purpose: This original printable practice session planner helps players, coaches, clubs, schools and families work with captaincy and decisions while pursuing one defined outcome: understand the current skill before changing technique. Its method is to prepare, practise in blocks, record evidence and cool down. Use the pages as a working record, not as a substitute for current competition rules, safe facilities, suitable equipment and qualified coaching or health guidance where those are needed.

Resource Map

The subject focus is to make transparent choices using conditions, resources and current match information. The six working areas below define the scope for this specific resource. Complete only the rows that matter to the present practice block, and date any observation that may change.

#	Working area	Current evidence	Next check
1	Toss Factors	Known / estimated / not checked	_____
2	Bowling Change	Known / estimated / not checked	_____
3	Field Change	Known / estimated / not checked	_____
4	Matchup Evidence	Known / estimated / not checked	_____
5	Communication	Known / estimated / not checked	_____
6	Review Point	Known / estimated / not checked	_____

Foundation Starting Brief

- Survey: Which evidence separates matchup evidence from communication in this case? Survey the present state before setting a target.
- Select: How does review point change the interpretation of toss factors? Select the smallest useful starting point.
- Bound: What boundary on bowling change must be checked before relying on field change? Bound the work by time, scope and available support.
- Prepare: Which observation links communication to bowling change, and what remains uncertain? Prepare the materials, conditions and owner.
- Begin: What alternative explanation could account for both toss factors and matchup evidence? Begin with one observable action.
- Edition success means: record several comparable attempts and a clear starting measure

Practice Session Planner

This format is designed to organise warm-up, focused drills, rest and review around one goal. For captaincy and decisions, apply the method in a deliberate sequence: prepare, practise in blocks, record evidence and cool down. The edition target is build a reliable baseline, so each entry should show what was noticed, what was tried and what changed.

Working step	What to record	Evidence or source	Status
Survey Matchup Evidence	Which evidence separates matchup evidence from communication in this case?	Starting view / date	Open / checked
Select Communication	How does review point change the interpretation of toss factors?	First priority / date	Open / checked
Bound Review Point	What boundary on bowling change must be checked before relying on field change?	Boundary / date	Open / checked
Prepare Toss Factors	Which observation links communication to bowling change, and what remains uncertain?	Readiness / date	Open / checked
Begin Bowling Change	What alternative explanation could account for both toss factors and matchup evidence?	First result / date	Open / checked
Confirm Field Change	What next check on field change would make the record about review point more useful?	Foundation check / date	Open / checked

Completion Standard

- Keep the work tied to captaincy and decisions, not to a vague general intention.
- Apply this adjustment: use one repeatable drill at comfortable intensity
- Is the foundation small, clear and evidenced enough to continue?
- Expose this outcome risk if it appears: several actions start together.
- Use original notes and explanations; quote only when necessary, lawful and properly attributed.
- Ask a qualified coach, safeguarding lead or health professional to challenge one material assumption where appropriate.
- Close with one decision and one follow-up rather than an unranked list of ideas.

Working Note

Edition CRIC-22-02-01 pairs practice session planner with the outcome 'Build a Reliable Baseline'. That pairing is the boundary for this workbook: material that does not help that outcome belongs in a separate record.

Evidence x Foundation Focused Practice Lab

This lab pairs a evidence method with a foundation outcome lens. Work through the six actions to turn the subject focus - make transparent choices using conditions, resources and current match information - into evidence for build a reliable baseline. Preserve any gap that prevents a supported conclusion.

#	Action	Constraint	Record
1	Collect / Survey bowling change	Which evidence separates matchup evidence from communication in this case? Survey the present state before setting a target.	Evidence list / Starting view
2	Classify / Select field change	How does review point change the interpretation of toss factors? Select the smallest useful starting point.	Source / First priority
3	Trace / Bound matchup evidence	What boundary on bowling change must be checked before relying on field change? Bound the work by time, scope and available support.	Trace / Boundary
4	Verify / Prepare communication	Which observation links communication to bowling change, and what remains uncertain? Prepare the materials, conditions and owner.	Verification / Readiness
5	Reconcile / Begin review point	What alternative explanation could account for both toss factors and matchup evidence? Begin with one observable action.	Exception / First result
6	Approve / Confirm toss factors	What next check on field change would make the record about review point more useful? Confirm what is ready for the next cycle.	Sign-off / Foundation check

Common Failure Modes

- For bowling change, watch for the source has no date together with the starting state is assumed.
- For field change, watch for the evidence is second-hand together with the first target is too large.
- For matchup evidence, watch for two records disagree together with the scope has no boundary.
- For communication, watch for a value lacks units together with required support is missing.
- For review point, watch for the context has changed together with several actions start together.

Independent Review Question

Format question: Which source is strongest for this decision, and what limitation remains? Outcome question: Is the foundation small, clear and evidenced enough to continue? Ask a qualified coach, safeguarding lead or health professional where appropriate, then answer before the next practice block: _____

Cricket Practice Plan for Build a Reliable Baseline

Use one defined practice block to test the outcome rather than attempting every possible task. This edition pairs evidence with foundation to pursue this objective: understand the current skill before changing technique. Preserve the starting condition and both evidence trails.

Plan field	Entry
Purpose	Build a Reliable Baseline
Starting condition	_____
Chosen working area	_____
Readiness	use one repeatable drill at comfortable intensity
Evidence to capture	_____
Stop or review condition	_____

Thirty Minute Practice Shape

Stage	Action	Evidence
0-5 min	Which evidence separates matchup evidence from communication in this case? Identify the evidence required.	Evidence list / Starting view
5-10 min	How does review point change the interpretation of toss factors? Collect one traceable record.	Source / First priority
10-18 min	What boundary on bowling change must be checked before relying on field change? Label its date, owner and context.	Trace / Boundary
18-24 min	Which observation links communication to bowling change, and what remains uncertain? Cross-check the material independently.	Verification / Readiness
24-27 min	What alternative explanation could account for both toss factors and matchup evidence? Resolve or expose one gap.	Exception / First result
27-30 min	What next check on field change would make the record about review point more useful? Record the supported conclusion.	Sign-off / Foundation check

Evidence Record Log

Evidence Record	Condition	Observation	Decision
1	Same / changed	_____	Keep / change / check
2	Same / changed	_____	Keep / change / check
3	Same / changed	_____	Keep / change / check
4	Same / changed	_____	Keep / change / check
5	Same / changed	_____	Keep / change / check

Source and Evidence Reconciliation - Foundation Lens

This comparison tests captaincy and decisions against build a reliable baseline through two independent questions. Format: Which source is strongest for this decision, and what limitation remains? Outcome: Is the foundation small, clear and evidenced enough to continue? Record material setting differences instead of averaging them away.

Evidence area	Baseline	Alternative	Interpretation prompt
Field Change	_____	_____	Which evidence separates matchup evidence from communication in this case?
Matchup Evidence	_____	_____	How does review point change the interpretation of toss factors?
Communication	_____	_____	What boundary on bowling change must be checked before relying on field change?
Review Point	_____	_____	Which observation links communication to bowling change, and what remains uncertain?
Toss Factors	_____	_____	What alternative explanation could account for both toss factors and matchup evidence?
Bowling Change	_____	_____	What next check on field change would make the record about review point more useful?

Format Decision Test

Field	Record
Review question	Which source is strongest for this decision, and what limitation remains?
Outcome question	Is the foundation small, clear and evidenced enough to continue?
Acceptance lens	record several comparable attempts and a clear starting measure
Conditions held steady	_____
Evidence supporting the choice	_____
Evidence against the choice	_____

Evidence Confidence Check

- Starting view: what was actually seen, heard, measured or read?
- Format evidence: which record best demonstrates dates captured?
- Outcome evidence: which record best demonstrates first actions completed?
- Challenge: could the scope has no boundary explain the result?
- Subject boundary: what does this comparison leave unresolved about matchup evidence?

Evidence x Foundation Scenario Cards

Use these paired scenarios to rehearse judgement for build a reliable baseline. Each card joins a evidence failure mode to an outcome-specific risk. State what remains open and which evidence named in the final column should be collected next.

#	Situation	Review question	Decision
1	Matchup Evidence: the evidence is second-hand.	Which evidence separates matchup evidence from communication in this case?	Starting view
2	Communication: two records disagree.	How does review point change the interpretation of toss factors?	First priority
3	Review Point: a value lacks units.	What boundary on bowling change must be checked before relying on field change?	Boundary
4	Toss Factors: the context has changed.	Which observation links communication to bowling change, and what remains uncertain?	Readiness
5	Bowling Change: approval is missing.	What alternative explanation could account for both toss factors and matchup evidence?	First result
6	Field Change: the source has no date.	What next check on field change would make the record about review point more useful?	Foundation check

Decision Record

Field	Notes
Chosen format scenario	_____
Outcome lens	Is the foundation small, clear and evidenced enough to continue?
Evidence available	_____
Evidence missing	_____
Controlled next step	_____
Person / review date	_____

Eight Evidence and Foundation Evidence Tracker

Track build a reliable baseline across eight practice blocks using the evidence method and the foundation outcome lens. Keep conditions visible and use this review question: Is the foundation small, clear and evidenced enough to continue?

#	Focus	Condition	Result	Next action
1	Matchup Evidence	_____	_____	_____
2	Communication	_____	_____	_____
3	Review Point	_____	_____	_____
4	Toss Factors	_____	_____	_____
5	Bowling Change	_____	_____	_____
6	Field Change	_____	_____	_____
7	Matchup Evidence	_____	_____	_____
8	Communication	_____	_____	_____

Evidence Evidence Dashboard

Measure	Baseline	Current	Evidence location
Toss Factors: Sources Identified / Starting Conditions Recorded	_____	_____	_____
Bowling Change: Dates Captured / First Priority Selected	_____	_____	_____
Field Change: Records Traced / Scope Boundaries Stated	_____	_____	_____
Matchup Evidence: Cross-Checks Completed / Readiness Items Confirmed	_____	_____	_____
Communication: Exceptions Resolved / First Actions Completed	_____	_____	_____
Review Point: Conclusions Supported / Next Cycles Approved	_____	_____	_____

Interpret the Trend

- I recorded a real starting point rather than an idealised one.
- I chose a first step that can be checked before the work expands.
- Keep the method only when the evidence for build a reliable baseline improves without hiding the scope has no boundary.
- How does review point change the interpretation of toss factors?
- Use a qualified coach, safeguarding lead or health professional when the evidence crosses a safety, rights, health or authority boundary.

Evidence x Foundation Next Step Plan

Finish by turning the paired format and outcome record into one owned action. For this practice session planner, the intended result was build a reliable baseline; the outcome test is: Is the foundation small, clear and evidenced enough to continue? The close-out standard remains: record several comparable attempts and a clear starting measure.

Close-out field	Record
Most useful evidence	_____
Important limitation	_____
Decision	Keep / adapt / pause / seek review
Next action	_____
Owner and date	_____
Evidence location	_____

Completion Review

- Subject check: Which evidence separates matchup evidence from communication in this case?
- Subject check: How does review point change the interpretation of toss factors?
- Format check: I linked each conclusion to a dated, traceable source.
- Outcome check: I recorded a real starting point rather than an idealised one.
- I used a progressive warm-up and did not ignore pain, unsafe surfaces, heat or fatigue.
- I kept the drill generic and did not imply affiliation with a player, team, league or governing body.

Originality and Responsible Use

Original independent resource. This general practice, observation and review aid was written specifically for asset CRIC-22-02-01. It does not reproduce a book passage, manual, official form, commercial question bank, branded training plan, broadcast, photograph, logo, artwork, map, proprietary diagram or third-party worksheet. Any user-added quotation, image, question or source material remains the user's responsibility and may require attribution or permission.

Educational use only. Verify current facts, rules, competition requirements, local regulations, health and safety conditions with authoritative sources and a suitably qualified person where consequences matter. This workbook does not grant approval, certification, legal rights or permission to use third-party material.